11th/12th Grade Writing Rubric – Argument

	Hon – A	Hon – B Ac – A	Hon – C Ac – B	Hon – D Ac – C	Hon - F Ac - D/F
Criterion	5 – Above Grade Level	4 – At Grade Level	3 – Approaching Grade Level	2 - Below Grade Level	1 - Far Below Grade Level
Focus/ Claim	 Insightfully addresses all aspects of the prompt Introduces artful, precise, and knowledgeable claim in a sophisticated thesis statement 	 Competently addresses all aspects of the prompt Introduces precise, knowledgeable claim in a clear thesis statement 	Superficially addresses all aspects of the prompt Introduces reasonable claim in a thesis statement	 Partially addresses aspects of the prompt Introduces underdeveloped or flawed claim in a weak thesis statement 	 Minimally addresses some aspects of the prompt Does not introduce a relevant claim and/or lacks a thesis statement
Organi- zation/ Structure	Skillfully orients reader to topic in introduction Creates cohesion through skillful use	 Orients reader to topic in introduction Creates cohesion through	Superficially orients reader to topic in introduction Creates some cohesion through	 Inadequately orients reader to topic in introduction Uses limited and/or inappropriate 	 Does not orient reader to topic in introduction or introduction is missing Uses few or no linking words,
	of linking words, phrases, and clauses within and between paragraphs • Includes strategic and logical	linking words, phrases, and clauses within and between paragraphs Includes logical progression of	basic linking words, phrases, and/or clauses within or between paragraphs Includes adequate progression of	linking words, phrases, or clauses • Includes illogical progression of	phrases, or clauses • Includes no discernible
	progression of ideas from beginning to end with relevant body paragraphs • Provides a meaningful and reflective conclusion which follows from and supports claim(s)	 ideas from beginning to end with relevant body paragraphs Provides a conclusion that follows from and supports claim(s) 	ideas from beginning to end with body paragraphs • Provides a conclusion which repetitively or partially supports claim(s)	ideas from beginning to end with minimal body paragraphs • Provides an inadequate conclusion	organization of ideas in body paragraphs • Omits conclusion
Evidence	Provides substantial and pertinent evidence to support claim(s) Seamlessly and effectively integrates	 Provides sufficient and relevant evidence to support claim(s) Competently integrates and 	 Provides limited and/or superficial evidence to support claim(s) Ineffectively integrates and cites 	Provides minimal and/or irrelevant evidence to support claim(s) Incorrectly integrates or cites	Provides inaccurate, little, or no evidence to support claim(s) Does not use or cite sources
	and cites credible sources and/or text evidence	cites credible sources and/or text evidence	adequate sources and/or text evidence	sources and/or text evidence that may not be credible	and/or text evidence
Analysis	 Shows insightful understanding of topic/text Uses persuasive and valid reasoning to connect evidence with claim(s) 	 Shows competent understanding of topic/text Uses valid reasoning to connect evidence with claim(s) 	Shows simplistic understanding of topic/text Uses some valid reasoning to connect evidence with claim(s)	Shows limited understanding of topic/text Uses limited, simplistic and/or flawed reasoning to connect evidence with claim(s)	Shows no understanding of topic/text Reasoning is missing or does not connect evidence with claim(s)
	 Convincingly refutes specific counterclaim(s) Skillfully uses specific rhetorical devices to support assertions (e.g., logos, pathos, ethos) 	 Competently refutes specific counter-claim(s) Uses specific rhetorical devices to support assertions 	 Minimally refutes specific counter-claim(s) Uses some rhetorical devices to support assertions 	Acknowledges alternate or opposing claim(s) Uses some rhetorical devices to support assertions with limited success	 Does not acknowledge alternate or opposing claim(s) Lacks rhetorical devices to support assertions
Language	Uses purposeful, varied, and correct sentence structure Contains minimal to no errors in conventions (grammar, punctuation, spelling, capitalization)	 Uses correct and varied sentence structure Contains few, minor errors in conventions 	Uses mostly correct and some varied sentence structure Contains some errors in conventions which may cause confusion	Uses limited and/or repetitive sentence structure Contains numerous errors in conventions which cause confusion	 Lacks sentence mastery (e.g., fragments/ run-ons) Contains serious and pervasive errors in conventions
	Strategically uses academic language and domain-specific vocabulary clearly appropriate for the audience and purpose	Competently uses academic language and domain-specific vocabulary clearly appropriate for the audience and purpose	Superficially uses academic language and domain-specific vocabulary clearly appropriate for the audience and purpose	Inadequately uses academic language and domain-specific vocabulary clearly appropriate for the audience and purpose	Does not use academic language and domain-specific vocabulary clearly appropriate for the audience and purpose
Format	(If applicable) Student avoided plagiarism and correctly followed a standard citation method with:	Few to no minor errors	Several minor errors	Multiple errors	Pervasive Errors